Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) encompasses wide variation in symptom severity and functional impact. The core features of ASD include impairments in social communication, repetitive behaviours and restricted interests. Not all people with ASD identify their challenges as a disorder. Autism spectrum disorder affects more than 1% of the population, and a dramatic increase in its recognition is creating huge demands on health care systems for timely and accurate diagnosis. Health care professionals in many capacities encounter people and their families coping with ASD, and optimal care depends on a large network of providers, given the breadth of the associated medical issues.

In this review, we outline the current understanding of ASD and suggest best practices for primary care and specialized clinics based on evidence from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or systematic reviews, if available. Although collaboration with educational and social services is necessary, the focus of our review is on medical concerns. We use a fictional case to illustrate how the process may be applied. Additional resources for physicians are presented.

How common is autism?

The Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network of the US Centers for Disease Control has surveyed ASD among eight-year-olds from up to 14 US centres every two years since 2000. The most recent analysis, which pertains to the 2008 surveillance year, estimates the overall prevalence to be 1 in 88 children — almost double the prevalence reported in the original cohort. These data cannot distinguish between an increase caused by changes in ascertainment and a true increase in prevalence. Global prevalence, as reported in a comprehensive survey of epidemiological reports from 1966 to 2011, suggests that autism is still under-recognized, particularly in developing countries. In Canada, a population prevalence of 1% implies that about 67,000 children, aged 3–20 years have ASD. Boys with ASD outnumber girls by as much as 4:1, but the underlying reasons for this difference remain elusive.

What causes autism?

The causes of ASD are now thought to be multifactorial: genetic, epigenetic and nongenetic factors acting in combination through various paths. Although ASD is highly genetic and can be familial or inherited, most of the genomic variation recognized to date has occurred de novo in affected individuals; however, expanded family studies are likely to reveal more subtle inherited variants. Two recent large and overlapping studies involving three-year-old children with an older sibling with ASD found recurrence in families of 19% and 27%. Expanded diagnostic criteria likely contribute to some of the apparent

**Key points**

- Autism is heterogeneous in cause and presentation; the spectrum of disorders is recognized in DSM-5 as a collective category called autism spectrum disorder (ASD).
- Autism spectrum disorder is much more common than previously thought (prevalence of about 1%).
- Up to 20% of ASD cases are associated with genes, copy number variations and functional pathways; these findings direct focus to synaptogenesis and neural connectivity as common causal elements.
- Early detection through improved awareness, family studies or screening programs allows early and effective interventions.
increase, although those with more intact language and cognitive skills (Asperger type) could be missed in such young cohorts.

**Box 2: Applying the advances in clinical practice (fictional case)**

When Brian was 18 months, his parents expressed concerns to their pediatrician about his social development. Brian was born at term by spontaneous vaginal delivery, with weight and height at the 50th percentile and head circumference at the 97th percentile. Ultrasonography of his head showed no obvious abnormality, and there were no neonatal complications. Brian achieved early developmental milestones as expected: social smiling at eight weeks, babbling by six months and walking by one year. His first words were at 18 months. His mother noted that Brian did not always look when his name was called, had minimal interest in other children and had difficulty disengaging from certain toys, such as model trains on a track. The results of an audiology assessment were normal. The pediatrician completed a Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers with the mother, and Brian was found to be “at risk for autism.” She referred Brian to the local infant development–early intervention service. Given his relative macrocephaly, she ordered magnetic resonance imaging of his head; the results were normal.

At 24 months, Brian’s family returned to the pediatrician with additional concerns, despite some gains made with early intervention services. Although his language development was progressing (three-word phrases and more than 100 words), his speech had become repetitive (i.e., repeating questions, reciting dialogue from videos and using scripts mixed with spontaneous language in his everyday life). He seemed interested in other children, but he never approached on his own and needed much encouragement to respond positively to another child’s approach, tending to play near other children with minimal interaction. He could stay for hours with his face to the carpet watching model trains. He did not engage in pretend play (e.g., “feeding” stuffed animals). The pediatrician observed Brian playing repetitively with toys in the waiting room and interacting minimally with other children. Eye contact was present but variable. She attempted to draw Brian’s attention to a toy on a shelf by engaging his gaze and shifting to look at the toy, but Brian failed to follow the look, even when she also pointed. Another noisy child led to significant stress for Brian, who tensed his body, flapped his arms and repeated a script from a favourite cartoon as he walked away.

The pediatrician diagnosed autism spectrum disorder (ASD), based on DSM-V criteria. She ordered a genomic microarray and referred the family to the local speech and language services and the autism early intervention program. The microarray report revealed a deletion of chromosome 1p36.23–p36.32 and suggested referral to a clinical geneticist. The geneticist advised the pediatrician to order certain tests in advance, including cardiac and renal ultrasonography, thyroid testing and referral to an ophthalmologist. Renal ultrasonography showed a vesico-ureteral malformation, and the pediatrician referred Brian to urology. Thyroid studies suggested hypothyroidism, prompting referral to pediatric endocrinology.

Brian was seen by a clinical geneticist several months later. The deletion was not found in either parent and was presumed to be the cause of Brian’s difficulties. The geneticist reassured parents about the low risk of recurrence in a sibling.

**Commentary**

This diagnosis of ASD was made by the child’s pediatrician based on DSM criteria, and the child was referred to community services. Practices related to diagnosis vary among provinces and territories and between urban and rural settings. In some cases, each child with a possible ASD diagnosis can access a multidisciplinary team involving a primary care physician, psychologist, and perhaps a speech-language pathologist or occupational therapist or both. Elsewhere, an expert clinician (primary care physician or psychologist) provides the diagnosis and refers to other services. Any of these routes to care could be appropriate, based on the local system and resources, as long as an experienced clinician trained and competent in this area is responsible for guiding the process.

Current technologies can now elucidate the genetic cause in 10%–30% of people with ASD. Copy number variations (CNVs) involve relatively large segments of DNA; rare CNVs or other deleterious smaller sequence alterations occur in about 10% of idiopathic cases of ASD; CNVs are now considered to cause ASD in some families. About another 10% of individuals with ASD have known genetic conditions, the most common being fragile X syndrome (1%), tuberous sclerosis (1%) or Rett syndrome (0.5%).

Heritability can be estimated by comparing concordance in monozygotic and dizygotic twins. Early heritability estimates for ASD were high, indicating that many more inherited genetic elements remain to be identified. To that end, a new international initiative will sequence the genomes of 10 000 people with ASD, aiming to delineate all of the genetic factors involved. Genetic studies have led to a surprising revelation that ASD involves hundreds of genes, the identification of neuronal synapses as targets for therapeutic interventions, and the adoption of clinical microarrays as the standard of care for ASD diagnosis.

Incomplete concordance in monozygotic twins and an increase in ASD diagnoses suggest the interaction of environmental and genetic factors. In utero exposures (including medications such as valproic acid, thalidomide, misoprostol, terbutaline or antidepressants), environmental neurotoxicants (e.g., pesticides) and infections (e.g., congenital rubella) have been hypothesized to increase the risk of ASD. Even if confirmed through replication, these exposures would collectively explain only a small number of cases. Early hypotheses that suggested increased risk associated with vaccine exposure (i.e., through the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine or the preservative thimerosal) have been thoroughly disproved.

A recent methodologically rigorous study of ASD in twins found lower heritability (37%) than previously thought, suggesting that environmental factors that are shared by twins more than by other siblings (e.g., in utero or perinatal effects) are more influential than heritable factors. (Such influences could, however, still act at the level of the gene.)

**How is ASD diagnosed?**

The diagnostic assessment of ASD allows a physician to determine if a child meets the accepted ASD criteria (usually per Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders [DSM] criteria), identify comorbid medical or genetic syndromes or psychopathology, and identify the patient’s treatment needs. Figure 1
shows the typical paths to diagnosis, starting with concerns (including “red flags”) raised by parents, teachers, childcare providers, early childhood educators, family physicians or pediatricians. Primary care providers then determine whether an assessment is needed for ASD or another developmental issue. A detailed developmental history is collected from the parents, and additional information is collected from teachers, early childhood educators and health professionals. It is essential for the primary care provider to spend time with the child engaged in structured play activities that assess social–emotional relatedness, the ability of the child to respond to and direct the attention of others, and his or her use of gestures, imitation, imagination and conversation. Multiple prospective and retrospective studies support the recommendations of comprehensive reviews and guidelines on diagnosis.18,19

Among people with ASD, 10%–25% have an associated “medical disorder” (e.g., a genetic syndrome such as fragile X syndrome or tuberous sclerosis, teratogenic exposure or neurologic disorder).20,21 Assessment of an individual with ASD should include obtaining thorough prenatal, perinatal, medical and family histories, and a physical examination to document growth parameters (particularly head circumference) and the presence or absence of dysmorphic features.

In 2009, the Canadian College of Medical Geneticists recommended genome-wide microarray analysis, rather than karyotyping, as a first-line laboratory investigation for individuals with ASD22 because of its enhanced detection of chromosome abnormalities at greater resolution and because a single microarray assay can reveal the equivalent of hundreds or thousands of targeted (probe) investigations. Positive findings may be helpful in a family’s search for explanations, provide a genetic handle for predicting recurrence, alert physicians to certain risks associated with known genomic alterations, and may eventually allow targeted therapies.8,9

Other laboratory investigations should include testing for fragile X syndrome and sequencing of the PTEN gene for individuals with ASD11 because of its enhanced detection of chromosome abnormalities at greater resolution and because a single microarray assay can reveal the equivalent of hundreds or thousands of targeted (probe) investigations. Positive findings may be helpful in a family’s search for explanations, provide a genetic handle for predicting recurrence, alert physicians to certain risks associated with known genomic alterations, and may eventually allow targeted therapies.8,9

Other laboratory investigations should include testing for fragile X syndrome and sequencing of the PTEN gene for individuals with ASD11 because of its enhanced detection of chromosome abnormalities at greater resolution and because a single microarray assay can reveal the equivalent of hundreds or thousands of targeted (probe) investigations. Positive findings may be helpful in a family’s search for explanations, provide a genetic handle for predicting recurrence, alert physicians to certain risks associated with known genomic alterations, and may eventually allow targeted therapies.8,9

Additional investigations such as electroencephalography or metabolic testing should be guided by clinical indicators. The routine use of neuroimaging remains controversial (because most children require sedation or general anesthetic), but we recommend neuroimaging at least for patients with “complex ASD”23 (e.g., substantial dysmorphology, microcephaly and/or seizures).24 Table 1 summarizes the recommended clinical work-up for patients with ASD at our centres.

The key to accurate diagnosis is a clear understanding of skills and deficits in social communication and the extent to which these can be accounted for by the child’s overall developmental level or by the presence of other disorders. The DSM-IV-TR (DSM text revision); criteria for autism have shown good sensitivity and specificity.20 Diagnostic difficulties can arise if the child is very young, has a mental age of less than 24 months or is severely intellectually disabled. Relatively intact language and intellectual abilities may lead to later identification. In such
Identify children at risk of ASD

- Concerns of parents or other care provider
- Consider other risk factors (e.g., family history)
- Red flags noticed by anyone

Stage 1: initial developmental assessment

- By physician or other community-based care provider
- Review health records, observe child in community setting, perform developmental and/or ASD screening questionnaires

Consistent with ASD?

Yes

Possible familial or syndromic basis

No

Monitor and advocate for focused early intervention or educational services as needed

Referral to early intervention services,* speech-language pathologist or both

Stage 2: diagnostic assessment

- By experienced pediatrician, developmental pediatrician, child psychiatrist or psychologist (interdisciplinary team or lead clinician with consultation)
- Integration of all prior information
- Detailed diagnostic history, systematic interactive assessment based on DSM criteria (may include ADOS)
- Evaluation of medical and mental health comorbidities; consider referral for specialized assessments
- Clinical microarray, fragile X syndrome testing and other investigations (if findings are positive, refer to geneticist)
- Referral as needed to evaluate language, cognitive and adaptive development at various times in child’s life; referral should not delay diagnosis

ASD diagnosis confirmed?

Yes

No or uncertain

- Refer for specialized interventions, family support, counselling
- Provide support and treatment for other diagnoses identified
- Strongly consider referral to specialized diagnostic team
- Strongly consider reassessment in 1–2 yr if the patient’s status is uncertain

Refer to geneticist

Ongoing process at each well-child visit

Figure 1: Pathways to diagnosis and treatment of autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Modelled after Levy and colleagues. See Tables 1 and 2 for a list of red flags and other investigations, respectively. *At this stage, we refer to generic rather than ASD-specific services. The services may be called “Early Intervention,” “Infant Development Programs,” “Early Childhood Intervention Programs” or “Early Childhood Intervention Services” depending on the jurisdiction. Note: ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.
cases, assessment of cognitive, social-emotional and communicative skills is invaluable.

The fifth edition of DSM (DSM-5) combines autistic disorder, Asperger disorder, childhood disintegrative disorder and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specialty</th>
<th>Indication for testing</th>
<th>Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Genome-wide microarray, fragile X syndrome (FMR1 gene)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head circumference &gt; +3 SD</td>
<td>PTEN gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concerns about other conditions</td>
<td>Tuberous sclerosis and others as indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider for females with intellectual disability</td>
<td>MECP2 gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimaging</td>
<td>Complex ASD (clinical focal findings, major dysmorphology, micro- or extreme (≥ 4 SD) macrocephaly, skin lesions, seizures, focal EEG abnormalities, motor regression)</td>
<td>Brain magnetic resonance imaging and/or spectroscopy†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>If clinically indicated (e.g., severe intellectual disability and seizures, developmental regression)</td>
<td>Levels of venous blood gas; serum ammonia; lactate, pyruvate and uric acid; plasma amino acid; total, free and acylcarnitine; urine organic acids, mucopolysaccharides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General medical</td>
<td>Should be considered, especially for developmental delay</td>
<td>T4, TSH, complete blood count, ferritin level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If indicated (e.g., presence of lead in the area where the family lives, evidence of pica)</td>
<td>Lead level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If neuroleptic therapy is considered</td>
<td>Fasting lipid profile, glucose, HbA1c, electrocardiogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenterology</td>
<td>Pain after meals, night awakening despite good sleep hygiene</td>
<td>Rule out gastroesophageal reflux disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High eosinophil count</td>
<td>Rule out eosinophilic esophagitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bloating (2 or 3 times per wk for more than 2 wk)</td>
<td>Tissue transglutaminase levels (to rule out celiac disorder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failure to thrive, weight loss</td>
<td>Serum albumin, total protein, calcium, vitamin D levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurology</td>
<td>Suspected seizures, documented regression</td>
<td>EEG (ideally sleep record)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology or psychiatry</td>
<td>Mental health concerns (e.g., anxiety, mood)</td>
<td>Comorbidity assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>Need to establish mental age</td>
<td>Cognitive and adaptive behaviour assessment†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Learning concerns</td>
<td>Cognitive, academic assessment (may include evaluation of memory and executive functioning)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech-language pathology</td>
<td>Speech or language concerns</td>
<td>Speech-language assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational and/or physiotherapy</td>
<td>Motor or sensory concerns</td>
<td>Motor and/or sensory assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour therapy</td>
<td>Behavioural concerns</td>
<td>Behavioural assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: EEG = electroencephalogram, HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin, SD = standard deviation of the mean, T4 = tetraiodothyronine, TSH = thyroid stimulating hormone.

*This list does not imply uniform practice.
†Consider adding magnetic resonance spectroscopy if there is regression or other clinical indicators of metabolic disease.
‡ May not be needed at the time of diagnosis, but may be required later to ensure appropriate academic supports.
into a single omnibus category termed “ASD.” This change reflects how the symptoms of these disorders represent a continuum from mild to severe rather than qualitatively distinct disorders.\textsuperscript{10} Public concerns over whether some higher-functioning individuals would be excluded from diagnosis, and thereby from benefits and supports, have not been supported by field trials that compared diagnoses made under DSM-IV and DSM-5.\textsuperscript{31}

Various instruments may be used to facilitate structured observation or systematic history-taking. The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule\textsuperscript{15} (specificity: 0.72–1.0; sensitivity: 0.72–0.98, depending on age and severity)\textsuperscript{39} and the Childhood Autism Rating Scale 2\textsuperscript{34} (sensitivity and specificity: each 0.82–0.95, depending on age and severity for cut-off scores of 25.5\textsuperscript{38}) have shown good reliability and validity. The Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised\textsuperscript{16} may assist with obtaining a systematic patient history in specialized settings.

How can we detect ASD early?

Although more than 80% of children with ASD show clear behavioural signs by two years of age and a diagnosis can be made reliably this early, the average age at diagnosis is about four years.\textsuperscript{1} Earlier diagnosis would alleviate prolonged concerns of many families and expedite opportunities to benefit from specialized interventions.\textsuperscript{33,38} thereby reducing costs to families and society.\textsuperscript{39}

Parental reports, analyses of home videos and, more recently, prospective studies of infants at increased risk of ASD have identified numerous red flags that distinguish toddlers with ASD from those with typical development or other developmental delays (Box 4). These signs were recently analyzed in a comprehensive literature review.\textsuperscript{41} Earlier in infancy, ASD may be reflected by atypical regulatory functions related to sleep, eating and emotions.

The most effective strategy for identifying children with early signs of ASD is still debated. The American Academy of Pediatrics and others have recommended universal screening at 18 and 24 months\textsuperscript{42} using standardized tools, whereas professional groups in Canada (e.g., Canadian Paediatric Society) tend to stress developmental surveillance, monitoring parents’ concerns and observation of the child, with or without standardized tools. In parts of Canada, surveillance has been enhanced by the use of broadband developmental screens, such as the Nipissing District Developmental Screen,\textsuperscript{42} and other standardized approaches to documenting parental or clinician concerns.\textsuperscript{43} Infants at high risk, such as siblings of children with ASD, should be monitored closely by their family doctor or pediatrician, and there should be a lower threshold for further assessment within primary care or by specialists.\textsuperscript{4,16}

Although a comprehensive review of screening for ASD is beyond the scope of this paper (for more detail, see Zwaigenbaum\textsuperscript{44}), two measures — the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT)\textsuperscript{44} and the Infant–Toddler Checklist (ITC)\textsuperscript{44} — have good psychometric properties for screening and are recommended for use. The ITC has a sensitivity of 0.86–0.89 and a specificity of 0.75–0.77, with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 0.65–0.80.\textsuperscript{45,46} The M-CHAT has a sensitivity of 0.77–0.97 and a specificity of 0.38–0.99, with a PPV of 0.06–0.92.\textsuperscript{47,48} Both have been used to screen for ASD among children in community pediatrics’ offices.\textsuperscript{44,55} The Social Communication Questionnaire, another screening tool, is appropriate for children above three years of age but not younger.\textsuperscript{54,55}

Evidence prompts us to recommend a multi-stage process (Figure 1) in which a network of par-

---

**Box 4: “Red flags” for autism in 12- to 18-month-old children**

**Social communication**
- Reduced or atypical:
  - eye gaze and shared or joint attention
  - sharing of emotion (less positive and more negative affect)
  - social or reciprocal smiling
  - social interest and shared enjoyment
  - orienting when his or her name is called
  - coordination of different modes of communication (e.g., eye gaze, facial expression, gesture, vocalization)
- Regression or loss of social-emotional connectedness

**Language**
- Delayed or atypical:
  - babbling, particularly back-and-forth social babbling
  - language comprehension and production (e.g., delayed or odd first words or unusually repetitive)
  - unusual tone of voice (including crying)
  - development of gestures (e.g., pointing, waving)
- Regression or loss of communication skills (including words)

**Play**
- Reduced or atypical:
  - imitation of actions
  - functional and imaginative play
- Excessive or unusual manipulation or visual exploration of toys and other objects
- Repetitive actions with toys and other objects

**Visual or other sensory and motor skills**
- Atypical visual tracking, visual fixation (e.g., on lights)
- Under- or over-reaction to sounds or other forms of sensory stimulation
- Delayed fine and gross motor skills, atypical motor control (e.g., reduced muscle tone, reduced postural control for age)
- Repetitive motor behaviours, atypical posturing of limbs or digits

**Delay or atypical:**
- babbling, particularly back-and-forth social babbling
- language comprehension and production (e.g., delayed or odd first words or unusually repetitive)
- unusual tone of voice (including crying)
- development of gestures (e.g., pointing, waving)
- Regression or loss of communication skills (including words)
ents and community professionals contribute to the surveillance for ASD red flags, leading to referral for general developmental assessment by community physicians or other providers (e.g., speech language pathologists) (Table 1). Community physicians play an essential role in identifying early signs of ASD and ensuring timely diagnosis. The greatest impact on outcome will come from careful attention to parents’ concerns, observing early social and communication skills (e.g., interaction with parents and response to simple social games), immediate referral to available intervention services (e.g., infant development and/or speech-language services), and timely referrals for specialized assessments and interventions.40

Which comorbidities characterize the autism spectrum?

A number of medical and psychiatric comorbidities have been identified in patients with ASD. These comorbidities and the epidemiologic evidence supporting them are outlined in Appendix 1 (available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.121756/-/DC1). Children with ASD should be monitored for comorbid psychiatric disorders. Clinicians are becoming aware of the frequency of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anxiety and mood disorders among these children; these conditions require identification and intervention as early as possible.

What treatments and interventions are available, and are they effective?

The goal of existing interventions is to facilitate the acquisition of skills, remove barriers to learning and improve functional skills and quality of life.

Behavioural interventions

Current best practices for preschool-aged children with ASD include a focus on improving language, cognitive and adaptive skills using applied behaviour analysis (ABA) techniques.58 Applied behaviour analysis refers to the application of empirically derived learning principles (i.e., the antecedent–behaviour–consequence contingency) to produce meaningful changes in behaviour.59 Such strategies are carefully engineered and implemented through a variety of approaches (e.g., discrete trial teaching to more naturalistic learning contexts) to teach skills and reduce problem behaviour. Applied behaviour analysis interventions can be provided in a variety of settings (e.g., home, specialized treatment centres, specialized or public schools) by a range of front-line therapists, ideally supervised by a psychologist or board-certified behaviour analyst who specializes in ASD.

A recent overview of meta-analyses60 found significantly enhanced outcomes associated with early intensive ABA-based treatment (typically for 2–3 yr) in four of five included meta-analyses (effect sizes 0.30 to > 1); these findings have since been bolstered by a sixth meta-analysis.61 Gains appear to be greatest in verbal intelligence quotient (IQ) and language communication domains,62,63 for children with stronger pretreatment skills, if treatment is started earlier,64 and with greater intensity or duration of intervention.60–62,64 These gains achieved in various domains have been summarized in a recent Cochrane review.65 Although the overall quality of evidence is low, it is the best evidence available.

A recent study in Ontario reported predictors of outcome that account for some heterogeneity in treatment response.66 A recent RCT supported the efficacy of ABA-based intervention in toddlers by showing improvements in IQ, adaptive skills and diagnostic classification.67 Models vary, notably by how ABA principles are implemented, but everyday contexts (e.g., free play v. “table-top”) and activities based on the child’s interests (v. therapist’s agenda) have advantages, including greater generalization of learning.68 Questions remain about which forms and intensities of treatment are most effective for which children. Research on non-ABA–based treatments is sparse and shows limited efficacy.69 Translation of evidence-based intervention into community practice is being evaluated, including in Canada.68 A key question is whether effective high-quality programs can be less costly and more sustainable; the findings from Nova Scotia are promising.68

Studies of the effectiveness of treatment programs for older children, youth and adults with ASD are scarce. Benefits have been reported for structured teaching practices, including ABA-based interventions, for a wide range of skill deficits and maladaptive behaviours.68

Biomedical interventions

Despite advances in pharmacologic therapy for ASD over the past two decades, there are still no agents that address the core symptoms of the disorder. For individuals aged more than five years, large clinical trials have addressed the efficacy and safety of the major classes of medications used in the clinic.69,70,71 A few systematic reviews are available72–74 supporting the use of pharmacologic agents for associated symptoms. Table 2
presents the level of evidence, adverse events and effect sizes for medications used to treat ASD-related behaviours and symptoms.

**Irritability and impulsive aggression**
Risperidone and aripiprazole, two atypical antipsychotic medications, are the only medications with an indication by the US Food and Drug Administration for ASD-related symptoms. Large RCTs have shown their efficacy for irritability.69,73 Cochrane systematic reviews have shown decreases in irritability using the Aberrant Behavior Checklist subscale with risperidone (8.09 points v. placebo) and aripiprazole (6.17 points v. placebo).72 Data from open-label and small RCTs suggest that other typical and atypical antipsychotics may be useful (Table 2).

**Hyperactivity and inattention**
Stimulants and atomoxetine may be effective for treating ADHD-like symptoms in patients with ASD, albeit with smaller effect and more adverse outcomes than in children with ADHD alone.71,77

Early data suggest a similar role for α-2 adrenergic agonists, such as clonidine and guanfacine.79

**Repetitive behaviours**
Not all repetitive behaviours or restrictive interests in individuals with autism are interfering, and some behaviours can be shaped into functional skills. The effectiveness of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) for repetitive behaviours has been questioned: the largest clinical trial for ASD found no effect of citalopram, and its use was associated with adverse effects.70 Risperidone and aripiprazole significantly reduced repetitive behaviours in children with ASD,75,81 albeit with adverse outcomes that require caution. No clinical trials have investigated the use of SSRIs to treat anxiety and depression in patients with ASD.

**Sleep disturbances**
The use of melatonin among patients with ASD is associated with improved sleep parameters, minimal adverse outcomes and better daytime behaviour. A systematic review of the use of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Target behaviour</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>On- or off-label</th>
<th>Adverse events*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atypical antipsychotic medications (i.e., aripiprazole risperidone)</td>
<td>Irritability, aggression†</td>
<td>Multiple, well-designed RTCs supporting their use69,75</td>
<td>FDA indication</td>
<td>Weight gain, metabolic syndrome, gastrointestinal effects, sedation, akathisia, orthostatic hypotension, tachycardia, extrapyramidal syndrome, neuroleptic malignant syndrome (rare)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Repetitive behaviour§</td>
<td>≥ 2 large RCTs support efficacy (although not a primary outcome measure)69,75</td>
<td>Off-label</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal effects, insomnia, agitation, disinhibition, dry mouth, headache, sexual dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin reuptake inhibitors</td>
<td>Repetitive behaviour§</td>
<td>1 unpublished and 1 published large RCT (fluoxetine, citalopram): no evidence for efficacy70</td>
<td>Off-label, unless comorbid obsessive–compulsive disorder</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal effects, insomnia, agitation, disinhibition, dry mouth, headache, sexual dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety or depression</td>
<td>None in autism, but multiple studies for pediatric anxiety disorders and depression</td>
<td>On-label for anxiety disorders and depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>ADHD-like symptoms¶</td>
<td>&gt; 2 RCTs (methylphenidate) support its use56; smaller studies support longer-acting stimulants</td>
<td>On-label for ADHD</td>
<td>Poor appetite, weight loss, irritability, insomnia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADHD-like symptoms**</td>
<td>1 large, 1 small RCT support effectiveness77,78</td>
<td>On-label for ADHD</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal effects, insomnia, orthostatic hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomoxetine</td>
<td>ADHD-like symptoms**</td>
<td>Several small RCT and open label studies in autism support efficacy79</td>
<td>Clonidine: off-label; guanfacine: FDA indication for ADHD††</td>
<td>Somnolence, hypotension, bradycardia, dry mouth, constipation, irritability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-agonists</td>
<td>ADHD-like symptoms</td>
<td>Several small RCT and open label studies in autism support efficacy79</td>
<td>Clonidine: off-label; guanfacine: FDA indication for ADHD††</td>
<td>Somnolence, hypotension, bradycardia, dry mouth, constipation, irritability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melatonin</td>
<td>Initial insomnia‡‡</td>
<td>Cochrane meta-analysis, positive effect on initial insomnia compared to placebo80</td>
<td>Not regulated</td>
<td>Headache, dizziness and nausea (all rare outcomes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ADHD = attention–deficit/hyperactivity disorder, CI = confidence interval, FDA = US Food and Drug Administration, RCT = randomized controlled trial.
†Risperidone: effect size 1.2, p < 0.001; aripiprazole: difference in least square means: −7.9 (95% CI −11.7 to −4.1), p < 0.001.
‡Risperidone (Aberrant Behavior Checklist stereotypy) effect size: 0.8, p < 0.001; aripiprazole: Child Yale Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale: difference in least square means: −3 (95% CI −4.3 to −1.6), p < 0.001.
‡‡Methylphenidate: SNAP-IVADHD: d = 0.73, p < 0.001.
§Citalopram: relative risk 0.759 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.51), p > 0.99.
¶Optimal dose methylphenidate: SNAP-IVADHD: d = 0.73, p < 0.001.
**Atomoxetine: ADHD rating scale total score: difference in least square means: −6.7 (95% CI −10 to −3.4), p < 0.001.
††Available in Canada through exceptional access programs.
‡‡Available in Canada through exceptional access programs.
melatonin (v. placebo) in patients with ASD showed an improvement of 73 minutes in overall sleep and 66 minutes in sleep onset. However, research is needed to determine which sleep problems respond to sleep hygiene and behavioural interventions and which require medical intervention (see recent guideline).

**Alternative medications**

Alternative or complementary approaches are often pursued by families, despite a lack of empirical support. Although some of these approaches have theoretical promise and deserve further research (e.g., omega-3 fatty acids, B complex vitamins, special diets), others are potentially harmful (e.g., chelation) or simply expensive and ineffective (e.g., secretin).

**Outcomes**

Studies of outcomes among adults with ASD consistently show low to modest levels of independence and/or social inclusion among both higher- and lower-functioning individuals. Core phenotypic features and challenging behaviours persist, although some problems can improve over time. Some improved outcomes may be because of more inclusive and specialized educational programs. The level of verbal communication and IQ before five years of age are the strongest predictors of outcome.

**Future directions**

We have come to understand ASD as a remarkably heterogeneous constellation of conditions that covary with other disabilities and disorders (e.g., intellectual disability, epilepsy, ADHD, anxiety disorders) and various medical problems. Recent discoveries of underlying genomic factors have shown great complexity and implicate various networks of causal factors. Biological models highlight the likely involvement, at least for a subgroup of patients, of processes associated with synaptic integrity and plasticity. New experimental therapeutics hold promise for the treatment of core symptoms and have the potential to alter developmental trajectories. Treatments in animal models carrying single gene mutations for disorders associated with ASD are particularly promising and most are now in clinical trials. Future research should focus on how we can better match interventions to child and family characteristics through personalized medicine over the lifetime of the patient.
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